► WEB 2.0 TECHNOLOGIES WITH EDUCATIONAL POTENTIAL. HOW TO SELECT ONE?

Eglė Selevičienė
Mykolas Romeris University, Lithuania, eseleviciene@mruni.eu

Purpose – The past decade has witnessed a growing interest in *Web 2.0* technologies in all kinds of spheres, including education. However, huge numbers of new *Web 2.0* technologies appearing on the market as well as their evolutionary nature make teacher learning a never ending burden. Very often teachers are faced with challenges of selecting technologies most appropriate for specific educational settings and anticipating whether they will meet students' expectations. The purpose of this article is to present a guide for finding the balance by presenting a short overview of research literature on determining the suitability of *Web 2.0* technologies both for the course and the student.

Design/methodology/approach – the present article discusses the concept of educational *Web 2.0* technologies, presents several typologies and analyses a popular model for educational technology selection;

Findings – The term *Web 2.0* was officially coined in late 2004 and although the majority of its applications were not designed for educational purposes, its suitability for different educational environments was soon recognized by many authors (Boulos *et al*, 2006, Mathiew, 2007, etc.).The research literature demonstrates that tries to help teachers in selecting *Web 2.0* technologies with educational potential were and are made by establishing typologies of *Web 2.0* technologies (Crook, 2008, Orehovacki, 2012, Bower, 2015). Research indicates that discussions on technology selection are also built around Gardner's multiple intelligences theory following a specific sequence of decisions: learner → teaching objective → intelligences → media choice (Mackenzie, 2002); ACTIONS model suggested by Bates (1995) is also being widely applied in deciding what technology to choose and why.

Practical implications – the review could be used as a practical guide for teachers from diverse educational contexts in selecting *Web 2.0* technologies suitable for their curricula and stydents;

Originality/Value – the present study contributes to the research in this field as it is one of the first studies in Lithuania which focuses on the issues of selecting *Web 2.0* technologies suitable for educational purposes.

Keywords: *Web 2.0* technologies; typologies of *Web 2.0* technologies; models for educational technology selection

Research type: general review

► PRINCIPLES OF REPETITION AND VARIATION IN RHETORIC

Rūta Brūzgienė
Mykolas Romeris University, Lithuania, ruta.bruzgiene@mruni.eu

Research question - Relation between the poetics of traditional rhetorical discourse and analogues of the development of the musical theme and the form of music.

Purpose - To discuss the expression of principles of repetition and variation from the aspects of traditional rhetoric: lexicon, syntactic intonation and form, and to analyze their relations with the principles of development of the musical theme and analogues of composition.

Design/methodology/approach - Several tasks are raised in this research paper: to discuss the ontological fundamentals of principles of repetition and variation, to describe the relationship between the principles of traditional rhetoric of poetics and homiletics and musicality of the text, in terms of its effectiveness, also to present a detailed analysis of rhetorical text homily. Research uses a comparative method, method of functional analysis, and concept of intermediality, based on the ideas of R. Koženiauskienė, I. Bucley, V. Daujotytė, J. Girdzijauskas, A. Ambrazas, D. Jakaitė, D. Čiočytė, A. Mykolaitytė, V. Karbusicky, V. Bobrovsky, W. Wolf and etc. works.

Findings - Types of repetition and variation in traditional rhetoric at different levels are systematized and their relationship with the principles of musical development and the possibilities of analogues of the musical form are discussed, an innovative methodology is developed, an original analysis of homilies is performed.

Research limitations/implications - Research is based on a general theory of rhetoric and musical form, attention is paid to the main interlinks of the principles of repetition and variation for both arts. Sermons of OFM Cap. Father Stanislovas, which are famous with their concentration of various means of rhetoric and musicality, are selected for analysis.

www.mruni.eu

DISCOURSE, TECHNOLOGY AND TRANSLATION

AND FEATURED WORKSHOP ON TRANSLATION

INTERNATIONAL SCIENTIFIC INTERDISCIPLINARY CONFERENCE

MYKOLAS ROMERIS UNIVERSITY



DISCOURSE, TECHNOLOGY AND TRANSLATION

AND FEATURED WORKSHOP ON TRANSLATION

International Scientific Interdisciplinary Conference

Supported by The TextLink COST Action IS1312
In cooperation with International Academic Association of Management and Administration (www.avada.lt/en/)

ABSTRACT BOOK

October 12 - 13, 2017

CONFERENCE SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE:

Prof. Dr. Liesbeth Degand, Catholic University of Louvain
Prof. Dr. Bonnie Webber, University of Edinburgh
Prof. Dr. Volker Gast, University of Jena, Germany
Prof. Dr. Jacqueline Visconti, University of Genoa
Prof. Dr. Sandrine Zufferey, University of Bern
Prof. Dr. Maria Josep Cuenca, University of Valencia
Prof. Dr. Julia Lavid Lopez, Universidad Complutense de Madrid
Prof. Dr. Peter Furko, Karoli Gaspar University of the Reformed Church, Hungary
Dr. Amália Mendes, University of Lisbon
Dr. Ludivine Crible, Catholic University of Louvain
Dr. Jiří Mírovský, Charles University in Prague
Dr. Ekaterina Lapshinova-Koltunski, Saarland University
Assoc. Prof. Dr. Nadežda Stojković, University of Niš, Serbia
Assoc. Prof. Dr. Guilhermina Miranda, University of Lisbon
Assoc. Prof. Dr. Carl Vogel, Trinity College Dublin, University of Dublin
Prof. Dr. Jolita Šliogerienė, Mykolas Romeris University, Lithuania
Prof. Dr. Nijolė Burkšaitienė, Mykolas Romeris University, Lithuania
Prof. Dr. Agota Giedrė Raišienė, Mykolas Romeris University, Lithuania
Dr. Liumila Mockienė, Mykolas Romeris University, Lithuania
Assoc. Prof. Dr. Sigita Rackevičienė, Mykolas Romeris University, Lithuania
Assoc. Prof. Dr. Jelena Suchanova, Mykolas Romeris University, Lithuania
Assoc. Prof. Dr. Tomas Butvilas, Mykolas Romeris University, Lithuania
Assoc. Prof. Dr. Andrius Sprindžiūnas, Mykolas Romeris University, Lithuania
Dr. Giedrė Valūnaitė Oleškevičienė, Mykolas Romeris University, Lithuania
Assoc. Prof. Dr. Vilhelmina Vaičiūnienė, Mykolas Romeris University, Lithuania
Assoc. Prof. Dr. Lora Tamošiūnienė, Mykolas Romeris University, Lithuania
Dr. Nomeda Gudelienė, Mykolas Romeris University, Lithuania

CONFERENCE ORGANISING COMMITTEE:

Coordinators:
Dr. Giedrė Valūnaitė Oleškevičienė, Mykolas Romeris University, Lithuania
Prof. Dr. Liesbeth Degand Universite catholique de Louvain

Members:
Prof. Dr. Jolita Šliogerienė, Mykolas Romeris University, Lithuania
Dr. Liumila Mockienė, Mykolas Romeris University, Lithuania
Assoc. Prof. Dr. Sigita Rackevičienė, Mykolas Romeris University, Lithuania
Assoc. Prof. Dr. Jelena Suchanova, Mykolas Romeris University, Lithuania
Viktorija Mažeikienė, Mykolas Romeris University, Lithuania
Deimantė Veličkienė, Mykolas Romeris University, Lithuania
Alvyda Liuolienė, Mykolas Romeris University, Lithuania

KEYNOTE SPEAKERS:

Prof. dr. Sandrine Zufferey, University of Bern, and Jet Hoek, Utrecht Institute of Linguistics
Prof. dr. Jacqueline Visconti, University of Genoa
Assoc. Prof. dr. Carl Vogel, Trinity College Dublin, University of Dublin

ISBN 978-9955-19-883-3

CONTENT

Carl Vogel. GENDER EFFECTS IN LANGUAGE USE / 8

Sandrine Zufferey, Jet Hoek. ASSESSING EXPLICITATION AND IMPLICITATION PHENOMENA IN TRANSLATION USING LARGE PARALLEL CORPORA / 9

Jacqueline Visconti. ON LEGAL TRANSLATION: A TEXTUALIST APPROACH / 10

Nadežda Stojković, Nalan Kenny, Danica Piršl, Vanče Bojkov. SOCIETAL AND PROFESSIONAL POSSIBILITIES AND LIMITATIONS TO DOMAIN SPECIFIC TRANSLATION FROM ENGLISH TO SERBIAN / 11

Anna Nedoluzhko, Maciej Ogrodniczuk. COMPARABLE COREFERENCE EXPRESSIONS IN PARALLEL CZECH, ENGLISH, POLISH AND RUSSIAN DATA / 12

Paulina Walendykiewicz, Celina Heliasz-Nowosielska, Daniel Janus, Maciej Ogrodniczuk. THE METAOPERATORS-BASED ANNOTATION OF METATEXTUAL RELATIONS / 13

Ekaterina Lapshinova-Koltunski, Kerstin Anna Kunz, Anna Nedoluzhko. TRANSFORMATIONS IN DISCOURSE: INTERPLAY BETWEEN DRDS, COREFERENCE AND BRIDGING / 14

Maria Pia di Buono, Jan Šnajder. A BILINGUAL ANNOTATION OF EXPLICIT TEMPORAL ORDER RELATIONS IN NEWS STORIES / 16

Julia Lavid, Estefanía Avilés. TRANSLATION CORRESPONDENCES OF ELABORATING CONNECTIVES IN ENGLISH AND SPANISH PARALLEL TEXTS: A CORPUS ANNOTATION STUDY / 17

Sara Sousa, Cornelia Plag, Conceição Carapinha. THE DISCOURSE MARKER *ANTES* IN CONTEMPORARY EUROPEAN PORTUGUESE AND ITS TRANSLATION INTO ENGLISH / 18

Sorina Postolea, Alexandru Ioan Cuza. SOME CONSIDERATIONS ON THE TRANSLATION OF CONTRAST CONNECTIVES TO/ FROM ROMANIAN AND ENGLISH / 19

Pierre Lejeune. MARKING MULTIPLE DISCOURSE RELATIONS: THE CASE OF PORTUGUESE *AFINAL* / 20

Jiří Mírovský. BUILDING A LEXICON OF DISCOURSE CONNECTIVES IN AN EFFECTIVE WAY / 21

Jiří Višek, Josef Hrudka, Zuzana Krulíková, Oldřich Krulík. SOME CORRUPTION AND ECONOMIC CRIME RELATED TERMS IN THE LAW ENFORCEMENT ENVIRONMENT OF THE CZECH REPUBLIC / 22

Māra Pētersone, Kārlis Ketners. INTEGRATION OF THE LEARNING EVALUATION MODEL IN THE PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM: CASE STUDY LATVIAN SRS / 24

Lucie Poláková, Jiří Mírovský, Magdaléna Rysová, Pavlína Synková. CZEDLEX AND OTHER LEXICONS OF DISCOURSE CONNECTIVES / 25

Šárka Zikánová. REMARKS ON PARAMETERS INFLUENCING DISCOURSE RELATIONS / 26

Voula Giouli. TOWARDS ANNOTATING DISCOURSE STRUCTURE IN PARALLEL CORPORA. A PILOT STUDY / 27

Ágnes Abuczki. PRAGMATIC FUNCTIONS AND NONVERBAL FEATURES / 28

Peter Furko. TRANSLATING REFORMULATION MARKERS FROM ENGLISH INTO HUNGARIAN / 30

Juan de Dios Torralbo Caballero, Violeta Janulevičienė. THE SCOPE OF POETIC DISCOURSE IN *CÁNTICO*: TRANSLATIONS OF FOREIGN AUTHORS, FROM O. MILOSZ TO T.S. ELIOT / 31

Arturas Balkevičius, Mindaugas Strumskis. CLIENT PREFERENCES AND INCREASE OF SERVICES ACCESSIBILITY AFTER IMPLEMENTATION OF E-SERVICES IN SOCIAL PROTECTION / 33

Irena Darginavičienė, Indrė Ignotaitė. THE SIMILARITIES AND DIFFERENCES OF EMOJI USE ACROSS DIFFERENT LANGUAGES ON THE INTERNET / 34

Lora Tamošiūnienė. Ilona Čiužauskaitė. LITHUANIAN TRANSLATION AND EDITING ASPECTS OF SHAKESPEARE'S TRAGEDY *ROMEO AND JULIET* / 35

Giedrė Valūnaitė-Oleškevičienė, Ramunė Eugenija Tovstucha. ELIMINATION OF CULTURAL LINGUISTIC GAPS IN THE LITHUANIAN TRANSLATION OF F. SCOTT FITZGERALD'S NOVEL „THE GREAT GATSBY" / 36

Vladimiras Gražulis, LiudmilaMockienė. PREMISES OF MULTICULTURALISM IN LITHUANIA FROM A HISTORIC PERSPECTIVE / 38

Vilhelmina Vaičiūnienė. THE ROLE OF THE TRANSLATOR IN PROFESSIONAL SETTINGS AND TRANSLATOR TRAINING / 39

Deimantė Veličkienė, Violeta Janulevičienė. CONCEITS IN SHAKESPEARE'S SONNETS TRANSLATIONS INTO LITHUANIAN / 40

Jolita Šliogerienė, Nijolė Burkšaitienė, Sigita Rackevičienė, Giedrė Valūnaitė-Oleškevičienė. CAUSE DISCOURSE MARKER *BECAUSE* ANNOTATED IN TED TALKS / 41

Viktorija Mažeikienė, Vilhelmina Vaičiūnienė. DISCOURSE ANALYSIS TOOLS AND CRITICAL APPRAISAL OF INFORMATION IN TEACHING TRANSLATION / 43

Olga Ušinskienė, Sigita Rackevičienė. ENGLISH COMPOUND TERMS ON MIGRATION POLICY AND THEIR LITHUANIAN EQUIVALENTS / 44

Agota Giedrė Raišienė, Austėja Virketienė, Violeta Janulevičienė. SUBJECTIVE INFORMATION EXPERIENCE IN THE CONTEXT OF VISUAL CULTURE / 45

Sigita Rackevičienė, VioletaJanulevičienė, LiudmilaMockienė. CORRUPTION CONCEPTS AND TERMINOLOGY IN ENGLISH, LITHUANIAN AND NORWEGIAN / 47

Renata Valūnė, Jelena Suchanova. UNCONVENTIONAL TASKS OF FORENSIC LINGUISTIC EXPERTISE: INVESTIGATION OF PLAGIARISM / 48

Eglė Selevičienė. WEB 2.0 TECHNOLOGIES WITH EDUCATIONAL POTENTIAL. HOW TO SELECT ONE? / 49

Rūta Brūzgienė. PRINCIPLES OF REPETITION AND VARIATION IN RHETORIC / 50

The publication is based upon work from COST Action IS1312 (TexLink), supported by COST (European Cooperation in Science and Technology). COST is a pan-European intergovernmental framework. Its mission is to enable break-through scientific and technological development leading to new concepts and products and thereby contribute to strengthening Europe's research and innovation capcities.

The Abstract Book contains unedited original manuscripts submitted by the authors.







COST is supported by the EU Framework Programme Horizon 2020

ISBN 978-9955-19-883-3







COST is supported by the EU Framework Programme Horizon 2020